```
e=" rgb(233, 234, 214) url(/back.gif) repeat-x scroll left bottom;
       -moz-background-clip: initial; -moz-background-origin: initial; -moz-background-inline-policy:
      <img src="80.shtml_files/opennet2.gif" alt="The Project" border="0" height="60"
      width="249"></a><br>
     <img src="80.shtml_files/p.gif" alt="" height="1" width="1">
0101_<td_align="right" bgcolor="#d9dac6" valign="top" width="470">
101010
 >> > > -
   Olo
    Is Troy Burning ?
    An overview of targeted trojan attacks
                                    Maarten Van Horenbeeck
    SANSFire 2008, Washington DC
                                        SANS Internet Storm Center
                       -"b"><u>?????</u></u></u>
000100nowrap="r
                e="exclude" value="index|/man.shtml"
```

Thursday Morning

🚔 FW: Boa	ok flyer)- Unicode (UTF-8)	×
<u> </u>	dit <u>V</u> iew <u>T</u> ools <u>M</u> essage <u>H</u> elp	1
Reply	Seply All Forward Forward <th></th>	
From: Date: To:	bugah gah donderdag 20 maart 2008 16:23 Annanggo Frank ang	
Subject:	FW: Book flyer	
Attach:	China s Tibet.pdf (303 KB)	
To Tibe Tibetan publicati member Thank y Tibetan Radio F 2025 M Suite 30 Washing 202 26	tt Support Groups, Please see attached a flyer for my new book, China's Tibet? (note the question mark). I am the author of a previous book, Nation, that some of you may be familiar with. This book argues for a self-determination strategy for Tibet. There is a pre- ion 50% discount that is just for Tibet Support Groups. I would appreciate if you would distribute the flyer to your rship, if you can. You, Service Free Asia I Street, N.W. 00 gton, DC 20036 i6-4080	
		11.

An advertisement



Behind the curtains

China's Tibet.pdf

MD5 70d0d15041a14adaff614f0b7bf8c428

AhnLab-V3 2008.3.22.1 2008.03.21 -AntiVir 7.6.0.75 2008.03.21 -Authentium 4.93.8 2008.03.20 -Avast 4.7.1098.0 2008.03.21 -AVG 7.5.0.516 2008.03.21 -BitDefender 7.2 2008.03.21 -CAT-QuickHeal 9.50 2008.03.20 -ClamAV 0.92.1 2008.03.21 -DrWeb 4.44.0.09170 2008.03.21 eSafe 7.0.15.0 2008.03.18 eTrust-Vet 31.3.5631 2008.03.21 -Ewido 4.0 2008.03.21 -F-Prot 4.4.2.54 2008.03.20 -F-Secure 6.70.13260.0 2008.03.21 -FileAdvisor 1 2008.03.21 -Fortinet 3.14.0.0 2008.03.21 -Ikarus T3.1.1.20 2008.03.21 -Kaspersky 7.0.0.125 2008.03.21 -McAfee 5257 2008.03.21 -Microsoft 1.3301 2008.03.21 -NOD32v2 2966 2008.03.21 -Norman 5.80.02 2008.03.20 -Panda 9.0.0.4 2008.03.21 -Prevx1 V2 2008.03.21 -Rising 20.36.42.00 2008.03.21 -Sophos 4.27.0 2008.03.21 Mal/JSShell-B Sunbelt 3.0.978.0 2008.03.18 -Symantec 10 2008.03.21 -TheHacker 6.2.92.250 2008.03.19 -VBA32 3.12.6.3 2008.03.21 -VirusBuster 4.3.26:9 2008.03.21 Exploit.PDF.A Webwasher-Gateway 6.6.2 2008.03.21 Exploit.PDF.ZoneBac.gen (suspicious)

Behind the curtains



Social Engineering

Cognitive dissonance: one President visiting another

• Mimic writing style

- Reuse public blog information or previous communications

Match content to a topic of interest

- Backdoored Videos of "Lhasa" protests

Convince users to forward messages along

- Improves trust in the message
- Users will confirm they sent the message

Backdoor viral content

- Tech memes are identified, backdoored and forwarded
- Users unable to distinguish between viral and non-viral content

The exploit: anatomy of a dropper



Weapon of choice: attack vectors and vulnerabilities

- Plain binaries
- CHM Help files with embedded objects;
- CVE-2008-0655: Acrobat Reader PDF exploit
- <u>CVE-2006-2492</u>, <u>CVE-2007-3899</u>: Word
- <u>CVE-2006-3590</u>, <u>CVE-2006-0009</u>: Powerpoint
- <u>CVE-2008-0081</u>: Excel
- CVE-2005-0944: Microsoft Access
- <u>CVE-2006-3845</u>: LHA files exploiting vulnerabilities in WinRAR.

Weapon of choice: application bugs

Attack on collab.CollectEmailInfo Javascript method

1:6BFCh: endobj.24 0 obj<</S/JavaScript/JS 26 0 R>>.endobj.25 0 obj 1
1:6C38h: 116.endobj.26 0 obj<</Length 25 0 R/Filter[/FlateDecode]>>st
1:6C74h: ream..H..WMo.6....[P....o@Q"%."..=..C...\.p...."...DKNP.
1:6CB0h: 2....7o.#.....S[..o..D.w?..^.s.=E.....`../0.~).
1:6CECh: 7{..."[...M....[..-;.t..[..K.<.Gsi...^.]S.i...q/...6u.+
1:6D28h: .L.[S....D.~-D...\$Xgq.o#..h...gVV..r.d.8..Fxi-,]D...V
1:6D64h: yM..[.f.9dAR..V..v...d&+..:.17..E~Z.Q:...Mv.'.M.T.)..H.<
1:6DA0h: ...:Ix.@C96.r.4..J.,1...7.5...K.3./F&.2.n.~B..*.\!...</pre>

Weapon of choice: application bugs

Operating systems have become significantly more secure

Interest focuses on specific types of applications

- File parsing code
- Massive installed base
- Lack of auto-update features

Anti virus protection proving a challenge

- Effective sandboxing not feasible
- Lack of file format parsers and encoding support
- Few limitations on length of shellcode
- Difficult to assess the targeted application

event_0310_result.exe MD5 7d62cec8f022e9599885ad7d079d2f60

AhnLab-V3 2008.3.4.0/20080310 found nothing AntiVir 7.6.0.73/20080310 found [HEUR/Malware] Authentium 4.93.8/20080307 found nothing Avast 4.7.1098.0/20080309 found nothing AVG 7.5.0.516/20080310 found nothing BitDefender 7.2/20080310 found nothing CAT-QuickHeal 9.50/20080308 found nothing ClamAV None/20080310 found nothing DrWeb 4.44.0.09170/20080310 found nothing eSafe 7.0.15.0/20080309 found nothing eTrust-Vet 31.3.5597/20080307 found nothing Ewido 4.0/20080310 found nothing F-Prot 4.4.2.54/20080309 found nothing F-Secure 6.70.13260.0/20080310 found [Suspicious:W32/Malware!Gemini] FileAdvisor 1/20080310 found nothing Fortinet 3.14.0.0/20080310 found nothing Ikarus T3.1.1.20/20080310 found nothing Kaspersky 7.0.0.125/20080310 found nothing McAfee 5247/20080307 found nothing Microsoft 1.3301/20080310 found nothing NOD32v2 2935/20080310 found nothing Norman 5.80.02/20080307 found nothing Panda 9.0.0.4/20080309 found nothing Prevx1 V2/20080310 found [Heuristic: Suspicious Self Modifying File] Rising 20.35.02.00/20080310 found nothing Sophos 4.27.0/20080310 found [Mal/Behav-116] Sunbelt 3.0.930.0/20080305 found nothing Symantec 10/20080310 found nothing TheHacker 6.2.92.239/20080309 found nothing VBA32 3.12.6.2/20080305 found nothing VirusBuster 4.3.26:9/20080309 found nothing Webwasher-Gateway 6.6.2/20080310 found [Heuristic.Malware]

Riler trojan: popular in 2005-2006

Network traffic:

NAME:

NAME: QADESH.VER: Stealth 2.6 MARK: fl510 OS: NT 5.0.L_IP: 10. 2.0.18.ID: NoID.

LONG:0508 LOG.txt

NULL

AUTO

ERR code = 02

SNIF

ERR code = 02

WAKE

WAKE

Command set:

LOCK SEND WAKE NAME MOON KEEP DISK FILE DONE DOWN LONG MAKE ATTR KILL LIKE SEEK READ DEAD DDLL AUTO READY

MOON & DISK grant access to local data DEAD kills the backdoor LIKE grants a remote cmd32.exe shell

Known malware families

Recompiled or repacked to evade detection

Hide from the end user and administrator

- Rootkit functionality (ADS, hooking of file system and network access calls)
- Network traffic often encrypted

• Actions:

- 1. Register the compromised station using its hardware (MAC address)
- 2. Download updates
- 3. Fetch commands over web, e-mail and proprietary protocols
- 4. Log keystrokes, search for files and submit them to the control server

Observed behaviour includes searches for:

- Web mail and mail client configuration settings
- Documents
- PGP Keyrings

Control infrastructure



Deep compromise: host name parking

Active attack



Deep compromise: host name parking

Disabled attack



Deep compromise: host name parking

Host name parking

- + 2008-03-31 11:49 | sds.bi-apple.net | a.b.c.d
- + 2008-03-31 14:44 | sds.bi-apple.net | 63.64.63.64
- 2008-03-31 14:44 | sds.bi-apple.net | 63.64.63.64
- + 2008-04-01 11:55 | sds.bi-apple.net | a.b.c.d
- 2008-04-01 11:55 | sds.bi-apple.net | 63.64.63.64
- + 2008-04-01 13:43 | sds.bi-apple.net | 63.64.63.64
- 2008-04-01 13:43 | sds.bi-apple.net | a.b.c.d
- + 2008-04-02 01:17 | sds.bi-apple.net | a.b.c.d
- 2008-04-02 01:17 | sds.bi-apple.net | 63.64.63.64
- + 2008-04-04 14:08 | sds.bi-apple.net | 63.64.63.64
- 2008-04-04 14:08 | sds.bi-apple.net | a.b.c.d

Safety in numbers



- Single sample connects to multiple different control servers sequentially
- Removes single point of failure (server or hostname)
- Ability to use multiple exit strategies
 - Ephemeral port
 - HTTP & HTTPS
 - SMTP

Multiple hostnames



- Defeats detection of the hostname
- Enables the re-use of a compromised server
- Different host names for different targets
 - Organization 1: bsek4.ggsddup.com
 - Organization 2: bs411.bluewinnt.com

Swapping servers



- Single host name points to a single server
- Once server is cleaned up, DNS entry is changed
- Control servers can even be on home connection

Mapping the control infrastructure

DNS based gathering techniques

- Passive DNS replication
 - Anonymously gather DNS logs from organizations
 - Store DNS responses in a central database

- DNSWatch

- Log all known hostnames
- Continuously perform DNS lookups to ascertain status

- A word of caution

- Attacker may control his own DNS infrastructure
- DNS responses can be influenced based on querying source ("views")

Control infrastructure



The first hop

Common attack vectors

- Terminal Services (RDP)
- Cracked through password brute forcing

Location by popularity

- Mainland China
- Taiwan
- South Korea
- Japan
- USA

Control server functionality

Port forwarder

- E.g. PortTunnel



Control server functionality

Remote Control application

🦋 Gh	Ost RAT]	- II X				
ID	WAN	LAN	¼ÆËã≫úÃû	²Ù×÷ϵͳ	CPU	Ping	ÉãĨñÍ				
	文件管理										
	屏幕监视										
	键盘记录										
	远程终端										
	系统管理										
	视频监控										
	会话管理 ▶										
	——王它功能 ▶										
	选择全部										
	取消选择										
Connections (Settinas) Build /											
10.2.0	.19/192.168.233.1/19	2.168.37.1	S: 0.00 kb/s R	: 0.00 kb/s	¶Ë2Ú: 80	Á 1/2Ó: 0	11.				

Gh0st RAT, Poison Ivy, Darkmoon, Riler

The targeted economy



The targeted economy: modus operandi

1	Actors with access to target data are identified
2	Mapping of communities
3	Identify actor reputation
4	Design a malicious code sample
5	Apply social engineering

The targeted economy



Investment indicators

Custom vulnerability development

- Oday exploits:
 - e.g. MS08-014 (Excel)
- Attack vector research
 - Use of Word documents as MDB attack vector
 - First groups to actively use 2008 PDF Reader Javascript method overflow
- Release of exploits around patch time

78DOh:	79	00	72	00	69	00	67	00	68	00	74	00	20	00	28	00	y.r.i.g.h.t(.
78EOh:	43	00	29	00	20	00	32	00	30	00	30	00	35	00	00	00	C.)2.0.0.5
78FOh:	00	00	00	00	01	00	03	50	00	00	00	00	СЗ	00	06	00	P
7900h:	1E	00	OB	00	01	00	FF	FF	80	00	4F	00	4B	00	00	00	
7910h:	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	
7920h:	00	00	00	00	00	00	04	00	31	00	32	00	32	00	31	00	1.2.2.1.
7930h:	00	00	00	00	0C	00	48	00	65	00	6C	00	6C	00	6F	00	H.e.l.l.o.
7940h:	20	00	57	00	6F	00	72	00	6C	00	64	00	21	00	00	00	.W.o.r.l.d.!
7950h:	00	00	06	00	4D	00	59	00	31	00	32	00	32	00	31	00	M.Y.1.2.2.1.

Investment indicators

Target customization

- Target used PGP encryption tool
 - Attackers sent commands to search for encryption keys

```
<Command Begin>
netmgetr usb:\*.doc
netmgetr usb:\*.pkr
netmgetr usb:\*.skr
netlsr usb:\*.*
<Command End>
```

- Reuse of harvested data
 - Aid in selection of new targets
 - Steers social engineering efforts

The threat agent



The threat agent

Indications the attacks originate in China

- Chinese software tools on compromised hosts
- Trojans from Chinese sites (hacker01.com) are popular
- Binary files with Chinese resources loaded
- Preference for Chinese control servers (language)
- Target selection

No evidence of PRC involvement

Red Hacker Alliance

- Large community, more cooperation and knowledge sharing
- Well known interest in patriotic hacking
 - Spy plane hacking row (2001)
 - Chinese Embassy in Yugoslavia (1999)
- But vulnerability research requires motivation & funding

Incident: attack on Japanese companies

Email Spoofed from Japanese Government Agency Targets Japanese Companies

Today, Symantec Security Response has received reports from a number of our customers of a possible targeted spam attack against several Japanese companies.

The spam email associated with this attack spoofs itself as an email from a Japanese government agency and entices the user to open the attached .zip file to check organizational changes made recently. The attached .zip file contains 2 files: 0414.xls and 0414.exe. 0414.xls is a legitimate file containing a list of names, addresses, personnel positions, which may or may not really exist. There is no evidence to suggest that any exploit attempts are made on this file.

The other file, 0414.exe, is a variant of Backdoor.Darkmoon, which has a keylogging capabilities. At the time of writing, we have seen several variants of Backdoor.Darkmoon associated with this spam attack. One variant saves stolen information as the filename msvidctl, sends it to the remote attacker, and awaits further commands from cyhk.3322.org. Another variant sends information as the filename taskame to hi222.3322.org and opens a back door to the same site.

Symantec.com, April 15th 2008

_	2008-04-08	02:43		hi222.3322.org	60.x.x.x
+	2008-04-08	08:19		hi222.3322.org	60.x.x.x
_	2008-04-08	08:19		hi222.3322.org	60.x.x.x
+	2008-04-09	02:37		hi222.3322.org	60.x.x.x
-	2008-04-09	02:37		hi222.3322.org	60.x.x.x
+	2008-04-10	13:17		hi222.3322.org	60.x.x.x
-	2008-04-10	13:17		hi222.3322.org	60.x.x.x

Also used in an attack on a Canadian Tibetan community member, spoofed as originating from Reporters without Borders

∿groun

Incident: spear phishing against USG employees

US-CERT Critical Infrastructure Information Notice CIIN-08-074-0 March 14, 2008

Spear Phishing Campaign Directed at USG Employees (U//FOUO)

Overview (U//FOUO)

US-CERT has received reports of spear phishing campaign currently underway that may be directed towards US defense contractors and government employees. US-CERT is issuing this

Contained within this ZIP file are two files:

080312.doc data source.db

The file "data source.db" is a Microsoft Access Database that is designed to exploit a stack buffer overflow in the Microsoft Jet Engine. Details of the vulnerability can be found at http://seclists.org/bugtrag/2007/Nov/0235.html.

BusinessWeek, April 11th 2008

Same sample reported by member of a Tibetan NGO on March 12th

Incident: cvnxus.8800.org

On Aug. 21, 2007, e-mail attacks originating in China targeted 28 defense contractor sites in the United States. In this case, defense contractors were tempted with an attachment purporting to discuss engine modifications for the Pioneer unmanned aerial vehicle.

According to the FBI, the e-mail text contained an actual presentation that had embedded a malicious code known as "Poison Ivy."

The FBI soon traced the attack to Internet Protocol address 218.106.252.77—which turned out to belong to CNC Group-BJ, CNC Group Beijing Province Network.

Air Force Magazine Online (Dogs of Web War)

+ 2008-02-07 07:46 | cvnxus.8800.org | 218.106.252.77 + 2008-02-07 07:46 | cvnxus.8800.org | 218.106.252.77 - 2008-03-08 22:23 | cvnxus.8800.org | 218.106.252.77 + 2008-03-08 22:29 | cvnxus.8800.org | 218.106.252.77 + 2008-03-14 16:38 | cvnxus.8800.org | 218.106.251.85

cvnxus.8800.org used in several attacks on several NGOs

Hostname disappeared in April 2008, now replaced

Page • 36

Nodal link analysis

Identifies relationships between attacks graphically

DNS data allows relationships to be identified

- Identify all IP addresses once associated with a host
- Identify all hostnames once associated with each IP address
- Identify all IP addresses once associated with each new host
- Review timing (hosts should map consistently)
- Lather, rinse, repeat.

Nodal link analysis

Combination with qualitative parameters

- Type of exploit
- Type of backdoor
- Language preference
- Preferred control server location
- Timeframe of connections
- Type of data targeted

• Allows mapping and forecasting of techniques

- Methodologies stand out
- Exploit sequences

Set investigative and takedown priorities

US Government warning in 2007

attacker.example.com (2 known addresses)

- Strong connection with:

- Hostname A (11 logged addresses)
- Hostname B (107 logged addresses)
- Hostname C (48 logged addresses)
- Hostname D (48 logged addresses)
- Hostname E (235 logged addresses)
- Hostname F (161 logged addresses)
- Weak connection with:
 - Hostname X (127.100.100.100)
 - Hostname Y (127.100.100.100)
 - Hostname Z (127.100.100.100)

*NOTE: hostnames have been anonymised for public distribution

Page • 39

US Government warning in 2007

Removal of duplicates leaves 394 unique addresses

Attacker.example.com difficult to investigate

- 2 unique IP addresses, both in China
- Full threat agent discovery provides addresses in
 - Indiana, US (alas, a private VPN gateway)
 - Sweden
 - China
- Many DSL links, limited set of actual machines

- Limitations do apply

- Groups may "trade" addresses
- Sharing of resources



Black listing

- Common anti virus approach
- Ineffective for new samples
- More advanced approaches (shellcode detection, stub binary scanner)

White listing

- Limits functionality for the end user
- Needs to be carefully scoped:
 - Depending on level of execution of malicious code, may be bypassed
 - White lists only filter a specific component (web, binaries)
- Requires stringent control over the execution environment

Behavioral process profiling

- Assigns score to potentially suspicious behavior
- Alerts when maximum score is exceeded
- Some behavior may not be assessed correctly yet
- Higher amount of false positives

Communications security

- User education & advanced awareness training for critical personnel
- Enforce trust in the communications process (encryption and signing)

Security Intelligence

- Enable exchange of anonymous data relevant to attacks
- Data can be used as intrusion signatures

Workstation hardening

- Beyond the operating system level
- Application software
 - Ensure all software is covered with patches
 - PDF Readers: lock down Javascript
 - Microsoft Office: use MoICE
 - Pre-parser which converts binary document formats into Office Open XML

- Gateways

- Allow file types only based on business requirements
- RAR rarely a necessity

Future evolution: a forecast

Targeting becoming more community based

- Why take data from one organization ?
- Community members tend to "socialize" across companies

Other attack vectors

- Deployment of code on web sites frequented by attackers
 - Highly visible, highly effective, short lifecycle
 - Minimal access to a wide variety of organizations
- Compromise of e-mail servers

Less re-use of control servers

- Tracking of a threat agent will become more difficult
- New control servers are relatively "cheap" to attain

```
vie=" rgb(233, 234, 214) url(/back.gif) repeat-x scroll left bottom;
      -moz-background-clip: initial; -moz-background-origin: initial; -moz-background-inline-policy:
     <img src="80.shtml_files/opennet2.gif" alt="The Project" border="0" height="60"
     width="249"></a><br>
    <img src="80.shtml_files/p.gif" alt="" height="1" width="1">
00101_<td_align="right" bgcolor="#d9dac6"_valign="top"_width="470">
101010
 >>
```

Thank you!

E-mail: maarten@daemon.be

"1">